

Oxic chamber

s.w.d. 3.2 m

**Ejemplo # 3 Aireación Extendida
CM tank model**

	mgd	U.S. GPM
wastewater flow	5715 m3/day 1.510	1048.5
BOD in (mg/L)	325	4092.6 lbBOD/day 6138.9 lbO2/day
TKN in (mg/L)	33	415.6 lbTKN/day 1911.6 lbO2/day
		AOR 8050.5 lbO2/day 335.4 lbO2/hr

oxic cell data

length	45 m			HP/mg	HP for mixing	if CFM for mixing
width	45 m	tank volume	residence (days)	80	137.0	2615 CFM
s.w.d.	3.2 m	6480.0 m3	1.13	90	154.1	
	10.496 (feet)	1.712 mg		100	171.2	

	lbBOD/day 1000 cu.ft.	17.9	MLSS	3000	hi speed	low speed
	lbBOD/day acre	8179.1	f/m	0.096	191.7	147.4
total tankage volume	1.712 mg					
total residence time	1.13 days					

AOR	AOR/SO	SOR	HP at 2.5 lb/h per	de-rate 5	de-rate 1	de-rate 15	HP/mg	HP for mixing	power density
335.4	0.7	479.2	191.7	201.8	213.0	225.5	80	137.0	HP per 1,000 cu.ft.
335.4	0.6	559.1	223.6	235.4	248.5	263.1	90	154.1	0.84
335.4	0.5	670.9	268.3	282.5	298.2	315.7	100	171.2	0.98
									1.17

quick-and-dirty diffused aeration estimates

CFM for diffused aeration/oxygen tr	5421 CFM	AOR/SOR = .37	1.7% per feet	7047 CFM	11973 m3/h
HP estimate for oxygen	197.1 HP			with 1.3 safety factor	
				5.63 psig	388 mbar
				6.13 psig(PeakOverdes)	423 mbar

notes:

2. some presumed TKN is used at full value for HP calculation, although some nitrogen would be used up for normal biological/BOD processes
3. approach would be extended/activated sludge alternative using f/m= c. 0.1 and 300 gpd/sq.ft. for a secondary clarifier
4. Possible preliminary quote:

about 157.6 HP if low speed units for O2 trasner 171.2 HP min. p/CM
 about 881 1-m tubes at 8 CFM per tube with 1.3 safety fa or suitable disc make/model
 256.2 HP blowers

other related calcs:

secondary clarifier diameter at 300 gpd/sq.ft.	24.4 m	area (m2)	467.6	9613 ft lb torque	8			
waste sludge flow Qw for various sludge age values, 30 mg/L SSout, unde	0.5 %	Hammer.412						
WAS (see footnote # 1)		RAS (see foot note #2)		tentative at	hr/day			
age days	Qw mgd	Qw gpd	Qw gpm/lb/day	dryQw/flow in	BFP gpm at 4%			
5	0.1964	196383	136.4	8179.4	13.0 %	51.1	13.9	26045
10	0.0937	93662	65.0	3901.0	6.2 %	24.4	9.6	12422
14.5	0.0618	61783	42.9	2573.3	4.1 %	16.1	7.8	8194
15	0.0594	59421	41.3	2474.9	3.9 %	15.5	7.7	7881
25	0.0320	32029	22.2	1334.0	2.1 %	8.3	5.6	4248

dry weight sludge as predicted by Hammer.440 Figure 11-40 as a function of f/m known to be "reasonable" for municipal but may differ considerably if industrial ww

lb/day dr	2775.1	2 * K * mgd * 8.33 * BOD5 mg/L
tentative BFP gpm for possible inlet SS settin	ballpark/alternate figures at above specified net BFP hours per day	
23.1	3%	11105 gpd
19.8	3.5%	9519 gpd
17.4	4%	8329 gpd

dewatering block subject to review/actual operating regime

foot note # 1 Assuming treated wastewater exits clarifier with say 30 mg/L SS and using entered/calculated tank MLSS,V solving for Qw in sludge age equation (11-12- Hammer.412) for various age settings results in WAS estimates as shown

foot note # 2 Tentative Qr's result from performing somewhat crude mass balance around secondary clarifier (solving for RAS):
 (Q+Qr) * MLSS = Q * 30 mg/L + (Qw+Qr) * underflow SS in mg/L
 Return sludge rates to be fine tuned as will probably operate in an A2/O fashion - more later
 (It all depends how lucky we are with underflow SSs: 0.5 - 2%)

Although not shown, it is assumed some thickener/DAF is used to concentrate settler underflow up to 4%
 (Hammer.443: "As a general rule, the solids content must be at least 4 percent for feasible dewatering")

quotables/summary (tentative)

surface aerators
 retrievable tubes & blowers local sourcing of PE/PVC pipe/panel/other