		Oxic cham									
			S.W.	d.	<mark>3</mark> m	C-1446 CM tank mo	del				
wastewate BOD in (m TKN in (m	ig/L)	200 m3/day 250 0	mgd 0.053	110.2 lbBOD/day 0.0 lbTKN/day		GPM 36.7 165.3 lbO2/day 0.0 lbO2/day	biofal		D5/day 4278 m		
cell I					AOR	165.3 lbO2/day	6.9 lbO2/ł	nr			
	length width s.w.d.	10 m 10 m 3 m 9.84 (feet)	tank volume 300.0 m3 0.079 mg	residence 1.50		HP/mg H 80 90 100	IP for mixing 6.3 7.1 7.9	if CFM for mixing 129 CFM	I		
				DD/day 1000 cu.ft. DD/day acre	10.4 4458.6			3000 0.056 hi spe	ed low speed 3.9 3.0		
	total tankage volume total residence time) mg) days						0.0 0.0		
	6.9	OR SOR 0.7 9.8 0.6 11.5	3	at 2.5 lb/h per HP 3.9 4.6	de-rate 5 de-ra 4.1 4.8	te 10 de-rate 15 4.4 4.6 5.1 5.4	HP/m	g HP for mixing 80 6.3 90 7.1	HP per 1,000 cu.ft. 0.37 0.43		
		0.5 13.8		4.6 5.5	4.8 5.8	6.1 6.5		100 7.9	0.43		
quick-and	d-dirty diffused aerat CFM for diffused a HP estimate for o	aeration/oxyge	en transfer	120 CFM 4.1 HP	AOR/SOR = .37	' 1.7% per fe	with 1	155 CFM .3 safety factor 5.34 psig	264 m3/h 369 mbar		
notes:								5.84 psig(PeakOverde	esign) 403 mbar		
2. I'm adding some token TKN, used at full value for HP calculation, although some nitrogen would be used up for normal biological/BOD processes 3. approach would be extended/activated sludge alternative using f/m= c. 0.1 and 300 gpd/sq.ft. for a secondary clarifier 4. Possible preliminary quote:											
other rela	ted calcs: secondary clarifie	r diameter at 3	100 and/sa ft		area 5 m		t lb torque				
	waste sludge flow	Qw for variou	s sludge age va	lues, 30 mg/L SS	Sout, underflow S	Sat 0.5 9	6 Hamr	mer.412			
	age da	WAS avs Qwmqd	(see footnote # Qw gpd Qv	1) / gpm lb/day dry		RAS (see foot not Qr mgd	e #2) Qr/Q	tentative at BFP gpm at 4%	8 hr/day thickener regime diam. (m)	thickener	
	Ū	5 0.0092 10 0.0044	9194	6.4 382.9 3.1 184.9	9 17.4 %	0.0555 0.0674	105.0 % 127.5 %	2.4 1.2	3.0	1219 ft lb torque 589 ft lb torque	
	1	4.5 0.0030	2963	2.1 123.4	4 5.6 %	0.0711	134.5 %	0.8	1.7	393 ft lb torque	
		15 0.0029 25 0.0016		2.0 118.8 1.1 66.0		0.0713 0.0745	135.0 % 141.0 %	0.7 0.4	1.7 1.3	378 ft lb torque 210 ft lb torque	
										210 It ib tolque	
	dry weight sludge as predicted by Hammer.440 Figure 11-40 as a function of f/m known to be "reasonable" for municipal but may lb/day dry 69.7 2 * K * mgd * 8.33 * BOD5 mg/L differ considerably if industrial ww tentative BFP gpm for possible inlet SS settings ballpark/alternate figures at above specified net BFP hours per day										
	0.5 3.	3% 5% 4%	279 gpd 239 gpd		sludge yield (lb/	day dry / IbBOD/	day) =	0.63			
	0.4	4%	209 gpd		dewatering bloc	k subject to review	/actual operatir	ng regime			
	foot note # 1 Assuming treated wastewater exits clarifier with say 30 mg/L SS and using entered/calculated tank MLSS,V solving for Qw in sludge age equation (11-12- Hammer.412) for various age settings results in WAS estimates as shown										
	foot note #2 Tentative Qr's result from performing somewhat crude mass balance around secondary clarifier (solving for RAS): (Q+Qr) * MLSS = Q * 30 mg/L + (Qw+Qr) * underflow SS in mg/L Return sludge rates to be fine tuned as will probably operate in an A2/O fashion - more later (It all depends how lucky we are with underflow SSs: 0.5 - 2%)										
Although not shown, it is assumed some thickener/DAF is used to concentrate settler underflow up to 4% (Hammer.443: "As a general rule, the solids content must be at least 4 percent for feasible dewatering")											
	quotables/summary (tentative) surface aerators										
	retrievable tubes & blowers local sourcing of PE/PVC pipe/panel/other										
		www.Aire	adores.Net		www.VirtualGuil	d.Net	<u>www.</u>	balestie.com	www.LodosActivados.c	<u>com</u>	